

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TAHEE ABDULLAH RASHEED,

1:11-cv-01898-DLB (HC)

Petitioner,

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA

vs.

C. GIPSON,

Respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from San Francisco County, which is in the Northern District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United States District

1 Court for the Northern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a case  
2 filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d  
3 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States  
5 District Court for the Northern District of California.

6  
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 **Dated: November 22, 2011**

**/s/ Dennis L. Beck**  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE